# DCB would be Default Strategy: Lutonix<sup>®</sup> Korean SFA registry



Jong-Young Lee, MD., PhD.

Kangbuk Samsung hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

# Case 1



# Case 1. final angiogram



NC balloon

Lutonix #2

# Case 2







JetStream

Ballooning

Lutonix #2

# Case 2\_final angiogram

UBTRACTION, FRAME_SELECTION (Derived)		SUBT	RACTION;FRAME_SELECT	ION (De
				Sili
	100			
				SHA
and the second			and the second se	

#### Leave nothing behind..





#### Stenting

Recoil, flow limiting dissection, residual stenosis



#### Atherectomy

Debulking and severe calcification

### DCB: Complement



1. PRE-DILATATION

Required for all lesions prior to DCB procedure

For adequate drug delivery, predilation or lesion preparation might be most important! Plaque burden is excessive and may limit stent expansion and effective drug delivery.

Especially, high likelihood from suboptimal simple PTA results, such as long, total occlusion and calcification...



# Why Vessel Preparation?

- Maximize acute procedural success in complex lesions
  Less dissection, less bailout stenting, better vessel expansion, better stent expansion
- Enhance drug elution and drug concentration into the vessel by removing barriers to drug absorption
  Superficial and deep calcium

# **LUTONIX Korean SFA Registry**

Prospective, Multicenter, Post-Market Registry Assessing the Clinical Use and Safety of the LUTONIX<sup>®</sup> 035 Drug Coated Balloon in Femoropopliteal Arteries



- DCB is one of the default strategy for femoropopliteal disease.
- LEVANT randomized trial showed reduced restenosis rate compared to conventional balloon angioplasty.
- Lutonix global registry showed excellent safety and efficacy up to 2 years.

# **Study Design**

Study Design	A Prospective, Multicenter, Single Arm, Post-Market Registry
Objective	To assess the clinical use and safety of the Lutonix Drug Coated Balloon Catheter in a heterogeneous patient population in real world clinical practice.
Number of Patients/Sites	Approximately 250 subjects at up to 16 sites in Korea
Inclusion Criteria	Rutherford Clinical Category ≤ 4, Stenotic or obstructive vascular lesions
Exclusion Criteria	Inadequate distal outflow
Selected Endpoint	<u>Primary Effectiveness:</u> Freedom from target lesion revascularization(TLR) at 12 months. <u>Primary Safety:</u> Freedom at 30 days from the *composite endpoint

\*: target vessel revascularization (TVR) and target lesion revascularization (TLR), major amputation and major reintervention (new bypass graft, jump/interposition graft revision, or thrombectomy/thrombolysis) of index limb and device- and procedure-related death.

# **16 Participating Centers (N=249)**

No.	Dept.	Site	Investigator
1		Asan Medical Center	Seung-Whan Lee
2		Chungnam National University Hospital	Jae-Hwan Lee
3		Kangbuk Samsung Hospital	Jong-Young Lee
4		Soonchunhyang University Hospital Bucheon	Yun-Hang Cho
5	IC	Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital	Hyun-Sook Kim
6		Inje University Busan Paik Hospital	Han-Young Jin
7		Myongji Hospital	Youngsung Suh
8		YeungNam University Medical Center	Ung Kim
9		Busan Veterans Hospital	Su-Hong Kim
10		Chonnam Nat'l Univ. Hosp.	Jae-Kyu Kim
11		Seoul Nat'l Univ. Hosp.	Hwan-Jun Jae
12		Inha Univ. Hosp.	Yong-Sun Jeon
13	IR	Ajou Univ. Hosp.	Je-Hwan Won
14		Seoul St. Mary's Hosp.	Ho-Jong Chun
15		Konkuk Univ. Hosp.	Sang-Woo Park
16		Keimyung Univ. Dongsan Hosp.	Young-Hwan Kim

# **Subject Disposition**

	Lutonix Korea registry (N=249)
Enrolled	249 (100.0%)
Completed 6 month (180 days)	241 (96.8%)
Completed 12 month (365 days)	232 (93.2%)
Discontinued prematurely	16 (6.4%)
Withdrawal of consent	1 (0.4%)
Death	11 (4.4%)
Lost to follow-up	2 (0.8%)

### **Baseline DCB Demographics**

Class.	Description	Korean registry (N=249)	Global registry (N=691)
Age(Years)	Mean (SD)	69.1±10.46	68.2±9.8
Condor	Male	212 (85.1%)	67.9%
Gender	Female	37 (14.9%)	32.1%
	DM	149 (59.8%)	39.5%
Risk Factor	Dyslipidemia	49 (19.7%)	70.0%
	Hypertension	176 (70.7%)	84.9%
	Cigarette smoking	106 (42.6%)	36.9%
	Class 1~2	45.5%	20.6%
Rutherford Grade	Class 3	41.3%	66.9%
	Class 4	13.2%	7.4%
	Class 5~6	-	1.6%

## **DCB** Angiographic Demographics - I

	Degree of Calcification	Lutonix Korea Regis	try
	None Mild	(N=249) (Lesion N=3 80 / 336 (23.8%) 143 / 336 (42.6%	bal registry (N=691)
Target Les	Moderate Severe	73 / 336 (21.7%) 40 / 336 (11.9%)	101.2
Calcificatio	'n	256 / 338 (75.7%)	50.2%
Chronic To	tal Occlusion	143 / 338 (42.3%)	31.2%
Lesion Loca	ations		
SFA		291 / 338 (86.1%)	70.0%
Proxima	l Popliteal	53 / 338 (15.6%)	16.8%
Mid & D	istal Popliteal	37 / 338 (10.9%)	13.1%

## **DCB** Angiographic Demographics - II

Classification	Korean registry (N=249)	Global registry (N=691)
TASC II lesion classification		
А	26.9% (64/238)	46.8% (231/494)
В	27.7% (66/238)	33.4% (165/494)
С	28.2% (67/238)	13.2% (65/494)
D	17.2% (41/238)	6.7% (33/494)

# Summary of procedural data

Variables	Korean registry (N=249) Number of Lesions=338	Global registry (N=691)
Pre-dilatation performed	<b>90.4%</b> (225/249)	64.9% (448/690)
Diameter stenosis, %,		
Baseline	88.7% ± 14.91 (331)	90.0% ± 11.0 (686)
Post-procedure	12.7% ± 12.49 (326)	14.6% ± 18.69 (680)
Major Flow Limiting Dissection	2.8% (7/247)	9.5% (12/127)
Bail-out spot stenting	<mark>8.8%</mark> (22/249)	25.2% (174/690)

#### Pre & Post treatment detail

Variables	N=249	Comment
Pretreatment		
Balloon angioplasty	225 / 249 (90.4%)	
Atherectomy	15 / 249 (6.0%)	
Others	1 / 249 (0.4%)	Cutting balloon
Post-DCB treatment		
Balloon angioplasty	20 / 249 (8.0%)	
Bail-out stenting	22 / 249 (8.8%)	20 of BMS/ 2 of covered stent

#### **Primary Safety Endpoint**



### Primary safety endpoint at 1 month

Variables	N=249	Comment
TVR	1	Lt. SECOND TOE WOUND and 100% Stenosis
TLR	1	Occlusion of Lt. POPLITEAL ARTERY
Major reintervention	-	
Death		
Procedure-related		
Device-related	-	
Major index limb amputation		
* Minor index limb amputation *: was not in the list of primary safety endpoint	1	Lt . 4th toe ray amputation

### 2 composite events within 30 days

#### **EVENT 1**

- Primary treatment:
  Pre-dilatation + DCB only +
  Post-dilatation
  - 70% residual stenosis

#### Re-intervention @ 17 days

- Reason for Re-Intervention: LT SECOND TOE WOUND and 100% Stenosis
- Location: Target vessel and non-target lesion
- Treatment: PTA, Stenting

#### **EVENT 2**

- Primary treatment:
  Pre-dilatation + DCB only
  - 20% residual stenosis
- Re-intervention @ 25 days
  - Reason for Re-Intervention: Occlusion of LT POPLITEAL ARTERY
  - Location: Target lesion
  - Treatment: PTA, Stenting

### Primary Efficacy Endpoint at 1 year



# Lutonix Global SFA Real-World Registry

12 months results

MEASURE	% (n / N)
30-day Safety <sup>1</sup>	99.4% (681/685)
Free from TLR	94.1% (605/648)

<sup>1</sup> Freedom at 30 days from TVR, major index limb amputation, and device-and procedure-related death **ALL SAEs adjudicated. Study monitored.** 

#### Lutonix Global SFA Real-World Registry

24 months results

MEASURE	% (n / N)
Free from TLR <sup>1</sup>	90.3% (526/589)

<sup>1</sup> Secondary endpoint. Clinical primary patency of the target lesion was reported by the investigator based on presenting symptoms and clinical exam.

#### Conclusions

- Lutonix<sup>®</sup> DCB Korean registry data shows acceptable safety at 1 month and shows 98.4% at 6 months and further 95.0% at 1 year of freedom from TLR, respectively
- The results of Lutonix<sup>®</sup> Korean registry are correspond to the results of the global registry. This study have great significance since it shows the efficacy and safety of Lutonix DCB for the large Asian population.
- Adequate vessel preparation seems to be a critical success factor for the drug delivery and the long-term result of DCB procedure
- Downstream effect should be considered when you're using multiple DCBs